

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20221
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/676,405	09/29/2000	WALTER W. BUTLER	K35A0458	1929		
26332	7590 11/29/2002					
WESTERN	DIGITAL CORP.	EXAMINER				
20511 LAKE FOREST DRIVE C205 - INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPARTMENT			CASTRO, ANGEL A			
LAKE FOREST, CA 92630			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			2653			
			DATE MAILED: 11/29/2002	DATE MAILED: 11/29/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No		Applicant(s)		
	09/676,405		BUTLER, WALTER W.	Ó	
Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit	Y	
·	Angel A. Castro		2653		
The MAILING DATE of this communication a		r sheet with the c			
Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	I. 1.136(a). In no event, how ply within the statutory mi d will apply and will expire ute, cause the application	ever, may a reply be tin nimum of thirty (30) day SIX (6) MONTHS from to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communica D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ation.	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17	7 September 2002	•			
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ 1	This action is non-f	inal.			
3) Since this application is in condition for allow				ts is	
closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims	ег ⊭х рапе Quayie	, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.		
4) Claim(s) 1-4 is/are pending in the application	n.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr	awn from conside	ration.			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election require	ement.			
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examir					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acc Applicant may not request that any objection to	-	•			
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in I			vod by the Examiner.		
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei	gn priority under 3	5 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).		
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		•	, , , , ,		
1. Certified copies of the priority docume	nts have been rec	eived.			
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prince application from the International E * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	Bureau (PCT Rule	17.2(a)).	_		
14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes				ation).	
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language p 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome	rovisional applicat	ion has been rec	eived.	,	
Attachment(s)		30			
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 	4) 5) 8. 6)		(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)		

Art Unit: 2653

DETAILED ACTION

This Office Action is in response to the Amendment A filed on 9/17/02.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) do not apply to the examination of this application as the application being examined was not (1) filed on or after November 29, 2000, or (2) voluntarily published under 35 U.S.C. 122(b). Therefore, this application is examined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

2. Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Guzik (U.S. Pat. 6,229,304).

Regarding claim 1, Guzik discloses a disk drive with improved shrouding (figures 4-10, comprising:

- (a) a disk 14;
- (b) a spindle motor 13 for rotating the disk;
- (c) an actuator arm 22;

Art Unit: 2653

ţ

(d) a head coupled to a distal end of the actuator arm (shown in figure 5, but not labeled);

- (e) a rotary actuator 20 for rotating the actuator arm about a pivot to actuate the head radially over the disk;
 - (f) a base 30' (figure 10); and
- (g) a cover 86 (figures 9-10) attached to the base to form a head disk assembly chamber, the cover comprising an inner surface and an outer surface; and a shroud 84 extending axially from the inner surface into the head disk assembly chamber substantially enveloping the outer periphery of the disk, including at least part of the outer periphery coextensive with the actuator arm when the actuator arm is positioned adjacent to the outer periphery of the disk, to provide radial shrouding of the disk.

Regarding claim 2, Guzik discloses that the shroud is a separate piece adhered to the inner surface of the cover (column 6, lines 3-5).

Regarding claim 3, Guzik discloses the cover and shroud described above.

As the claims are directed to a disk drive, per se, the method limitations appearing in lines 1-2 of claim 3 has only been accorded weight to the extent that it affects the structure of the completed disk drive. Note that determination of patentability in 'product-by-process' claims is based on product itself, even though such claims are limited and defined by process [i.e., "form molded"], and thus product in such claim is unpatentable if it is the same as, or obvious form, product of prior art, even if prior product was made by a different process", *In re Thorpe, et al.*, 227 USPQ 964 (CAFC 1985). Furthermore, note that a "product-by-process" claim, although reciting subject matter of claim in terms of how it is made [i.e.,

Art Unit: 2653

K

"form molded."] is still product claim; it is patentability of product claimed and not recited process steps that must be established, in spite of fact that claim may recite only process limitations", *In re Hirao and Sato*, 190 USPQ 685 (CCPA 1976).

Regarding claim 4, Guzik shows that the cover further comprises a substantially C-shaped depression positioned substantially concentric over the disk to provide axial shrouding (see figure 7, below the cover 31).

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-4 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

- 4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Yamasaki et al (U.S. Pat. 5,541,791) discloses an air guide for an aerodynamic actuator latch within a disk drive; Stefansky (U.S. Pat. 5,329,412) discloses a two and one half inch diameter disk drive.
- 5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until

Art Unit: 2653

after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory

period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to

37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of

this final action.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Angel A. Castro whose telephone number is 703-308-8435.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday, 8 AM to 6 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, William R Korzuch can be reached on 703-305-6137. The fax phone numbers for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9314 for regular

communications and 703-308-8435 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-4750.

Angel Castro, Ph.D.

November 25, 2002

WILLIAM KORZÚCH SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER Page 5

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600